## UIUC LIBRARY SALARY REPORT: FY2001

## INTRODUCTION

This report provides information on faculty salaries as reported to the Association for Research Libraries and tenured and tenure-track faculty as reported to the Campus. In addition, the report examines changes in salary patterns since 1993, which is the benchmark year of the "University Library Salary Studies Committee Report" (1995). It should be understood that the population reported for Association of Research Libraries (ARL) and University of Illinois at UrbanaChampaign (UIUC) is different. The UIUC data includes only tenured and tenure-track faculty, including Law librarians. In contrast, the ARL data includes all faculty and academic professionals as well as visiting positions. The ARL data does not include Law faculty. Neither report includes the University Librarian as part of the population.

## I. HISTORIC CHANGES IN UIUC SALARIES

## Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty Salary Increases for the Last Five Years

Salaries for FY2001 continue to show satisfactory increases compared with previous years. The Library's average salary for FY2001 is $\$ 57,771$, with female salaries at $\$ 57,179$ and males at $\$ 59,110$, resulting in a differential of males earning $3.38 \%$ more than females (see Figure and Table 1). Reviewing Figures and Tables $2-4$ shows that the greatest disparity between male and female salaries is at the rank of Associate Professor, with women earning $10.9 \%$ more than males. However, the disparity is almost as great at the Full Professor level where males earn $9.79 \%$ more than females. At the Assistant Professor level, the difference is $10.69 \%$. While the difference of salaries between male and female is only $3.38 \%$, the disturbing factor is that at each rank the disparity between male and female salaries is more than triple that figure. It is only the strong showing of women's salaries at the rank of Associate Professor that counterbalances the disparity between male and female salaries at the Full Professor and Assistant Professor ranks. The only promising news in this is that female salaries have grown at a faster pace than male salaries at the Professor and Associate Professor ranks. A portion of these marked disparities can be partially explained. At the rank of Professor, females as a group have been in rank for a shorter period of time. At the rank of Associate Professor, women being in rank for a longer period explains part of the differential. Assistant Professor differences, which have radically changed in the last year, are directly associated with recent male hires, both in the Main Library and in the Law Library.

Figure 1: Tenure \& Tenure Track Salaries FY1997-FY2001: All


Table 1: Tenure \& Tenure Track Salaries FY1997-FY2001: All

| ALL |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | All |  | Female | Male | \% Diff |
| 1997 | $\$ 47,597$ | $\$ 46,784$ | $\$ 49,060$ | $4.86 \%$ |  |
| 1998 | $\$ 50,458$ | $\$ 49,525$ | $\$ 52,119$ | $5.24 \%$ |  |
| 1999 | $\$ 50,868$ | $\$ 50,510$ | $\$ 51,584$ | $2.13 \%$ |  |
| 2000 | $\$ 54,030$ | $\$ 53,993$ | $\$ 54,100$ | $0.20 \%$ |  |
| 2001 | $\$ 57,771$ | $\$ 57,179$ | $\$ 59,110$ | $3.38 \%$ |  |
| Average | $\$ 52,145$ | $\$ 51,598$ | $\$ 53,195$ |  |  |
| \% Diff |  | $21.38 \%$ | $22.22 \%$ |  |  |

Figure 2: Tenure \& Tenure Track Salaries FY1997-FY2001: Professors


Table 2: Tenure \& Tenure Track Salaries FY1997-FY2001: Professors

| PROFESSORS |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | All | Female | Male | \% Diff |  |
| 1997 | $\$ 62,711$ | $\$ 57,064$ | $\$ 67,230$ | $17.82 \%$ |  |
| 1998 | $\$ 66,563$ | $\$ 61,681$ | $\$ 70,469$ | $14.25 \%$ |  |
| 1999 | $\$ 65,937$ | $\$ 64,410$ | $\$ 67,295$ | $4.48 \%$ |  |
| 2000 | $\$ 68,241$ | $\$ 66,200$ | $\$ 70,508$ | $6.51 \%$ |  |
| 2001 | $\$ 71,946$ | $\$ 69,307$ | $\$ 76,093$ | $9.79 \%$ |  |
| Average | $\$ 67,080$ | $\$ 63,732$ | $\$ 70,319$ |  |  |
| \% Diff | $14.73 \%$ | $21.45 \%$ |  | $13.18 \%$ |  |

Figure 3: Tenure \& Tenure Track Salaries FY1997-FY2001: Associate Professors


Table 3: Tenure \& Tenure Track Salaries FY1997-FY2001: Associate Professors

| ASSOCIATE PROFESSORS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All | Female | Male | \% Diff |
| 1997 | \$ 47,330 | \$ 48,565 | \$ 43,501 | -10.43\% |
| 1998 | \$ 51,998 | \$ 52,298 | \$ 51,068 | -2.35\% |
| 1999 | \$ 52,939 | \$ 54,251 | \$ 49,134 | -9.43\% |
| 2000 | \$ 54,939 | \$ 56,094 | \$ 51,474 | -8.24\% |
| 2001 | \$ 60,534 | \$ 62,287 | \$ 55,495 | -10.90\% |
| Average | \$ 53,548 | \$ 54,699 | \$ 50,134 |  |
| \% Diff | 27.90\% | 28.25\% | 27.57\% |  |

Figure 4: Tenure \& Tenure Track Salaries FY1997-FY2001: Assistant Professors


Table 4: Tenure \& Tenure Track Salaries FY1997-FY2001: Assistant Professors

| ASSISTANT PROFESSORS |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All | Female | Male | $\%$ Diff |  |
| 1997 | $\$ 37,153$ | $\$ 37,622$ | $\$ 36,450$ | $-3.12 \%$ |  |
| 1998 | $\$ 38,689$ | $\$ 39,347$ | $\$ 37,703$ | $-4.18 \%$ |  |
| 1999 | $\$ 39,999$ | $\$ 40,050$ | $\$ 39,893$ | $-0.39 \%$ |  |
| 2000 | $\$ 42,388$ | $\$ 42,819$ | $\$ 41,697$ | $-2.62 \%$ |  |
| 2001 | $\$ 44,664$ | $\$ 43,241$ | $\$ 47,864$ | $10.69 \%$ |  |
| Average | $\$ 40,579$ | $\$ 40,616$ | $\$ 40,721$ |  |  |
| \% Diff | $20.22 \%$ |  | $14.94 \%$ |  |  |

## All Professional Positions for the Last Five Years

"All Professional Positions" include tenure, tenure-track, visiting faculty positions, and academic professional positions. These are the data that are reported to the Association of Research Libraries.

Figure 5: Professional Positions Salaries FY1997-FY2001: All


Table 5: Professional Positions Salaries FY1997-FY2001: All

| ALL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  |  | All | Female | Male |  | \% Diff |
| 1997 | $\$$ | 42,791 | $\$$ | 41,710 | $\$$ | 45,086 |
| 1998 | $\$$ | 46,542 | $\$$ | 46,055 | $\$$ | 47,594 |
| 1999 | $\$$ | 47,610 | $\$$ | 47,044 | $\$$ | 48,786 |
| 2000 | $\$$ | 50,133 | $\$$ | 49,750 | $\$$ | 50,919 |
| 2001 | $\$$ | 53,080 | $\$$ | 52,735 | $\$$ | 53,790 |
| Average | $\$$ | 48,031 | $\$$ | 47,459 | $\$$ | 49,235 |
| \%Diff | $24.04 \%$ |  | $26.43 \%$ |  | $2.35 \%$ |  |
|  | $29.31 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Although the average increase for All Professional Positions grew by 24\% between FY1997 and FY2001, the average salary at UIUC remains below the ARL Average.

## II. ARL AND CIC SALARY COMPARISONS

Comparing the salary data in Table 6 reveals that the UIUC Library has steadily improved in its average salary when compared against the ARL average salary since the "University Library Salary Studies Committee Report."

Table 6: ARL \& UIUC Comparison of Average Salaries: FY1993 -FY2000

| YEAR | UIUC AVERAGE | ARL AVERAGE | PERCENTAGE <br> DIFFERENCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1993 | $\$ 37,294$ | $\$ 42,144$ | $-13.00 \%$ |
| 1994 | $\$ 37452$ | $\$ 43,075$ | $-15.01 \%$ |
| 1995 | $\$ 40,258$ | $\$ 43,996$ | $-9.21 \%$ |
| 1996 | $\$ 41,631$ | $\$ 45,127$ | $-8.40 \%$ |
| 1997 | $\$ 43,082$ | $\$ 46,508$ | $-7.95 \%$ |
| 1998 | $\$ 45,536$ | $\$ 48,090$ | $-5.61 \%$ |
| 1999 | $\$ 47,488$ | $\$ 49,624$ | $-4.50 \%$ |
| 2000 | $\$ 49,942$ | $\$ 51,113$ | $-2.34 \%$ |
| 2001 | $\$ 52,781$ | $\$ 53,176$ | $0.75 \%$ |
| PERCENTAGE <br> CHANGE | $41.53 \%$ | $26.27 \%$ |  |

Both the beginning salaries and median salaries for UIUC professional staff have increased during this time (Table 7). Unfortunately, these increases still leave the Library short of its goal of ranking $3^{\text {rd }}$ in average salaries in the CIC and between $23^{\text {rd }}$ and $28^{\text {th }}$ in ARL.

UIUC has made definite gains since FY97, with the FY01 beginning salary of $\$ 35,000$ allowing UIUC to climb to 23rd in the ARL rankings and 2nd in the CIC behind Penn State $(\$ 33,500)$ and Chicago ( $\$ 33,475$ ). The percentage growth of UIUC's beginning salary during this period is $49 \%$. With the increased competition in the information market place it is imperative that the UIUC beginning salary increase to $\$ 38,000$ in FY2002 and $\$ 40,000$ in FY2003 if the UIUC Library is to be able to attract the "best and brightest" new graduates.

Table 7: UIUC Salary Rankings in ARL and CIC

| YEAR | BEGINNING |  |  | MEDIAN |  |  | AVERAGE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { UIUC } \\ \text { SALARY } \end{gathered}$ | UIUC RANK |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { UIUC } \\ \text { SALARY } \end{gathered}$ | UIUC RANK |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { UIUC } \\ \text { SALARY } \end{gathered}$ | UIUC RANK |  |
|  |  | ARL | CIC |  | ARL | CIC |  | ARL | CIC |
| 1993 | \$23,500 | 80 | 13 | \$33,836 | 84 | 13 | \$37,294 | 71 | 11 |
| 1994 | \$25,500 | 47 | 6 | \$34,627 | 92 | 13 | \$37,452 | 79 | 12 |
| 1995 | \$27,000 | 39 | 5 | \$36,107 | 85 | 13 | \$40,258 | 66 | 12 |
| 1996 | \$28,000 | 32 | 4 | \$38,390 | 76 | 13 | \$41,631 | 60 | 10 |
| 1997 | \$29,000 | 36 | 5 | \$39,520 | 75 | 12 | \$43,082 | 61 | 10 |
| 1998 | \$30,000 | 33 | 3 | \$43,146 | 48 | 9 | \$45,536 | 47 | 10 |
| 1999 | \$31,000 | 36 | 4 | \$45,136 | 49 | 9 | \$47,488 | 47 | 10 |
| 2000 | \$33,000 | 23 | 3 | \$47,470 | 43 | 7 | \$49,942 | 41 | 6 |
| 2001 | \$35,000 | 23 | 2 | \$49,470 | 38 | 6 | \$52,781 | 38 | 6 |

## FY20001 SALARY PROGRAM

## Merit

Merit increases were made in accordance with the Faculty Review Committee (FRC) recommendations. FRC divided their evaluations of all faculty into three groups. The demarcation between the groups was made by FRC at natural breaks in the range of scores. Therefore, the number of individuals in each group was not the same.

Table 8: UIUC Faculty Salary Increase for FY2001

| Growp | Percentage Increase | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Top Group | $5.0 \%$ | 27 |
| Middle Group | $4.0 \%$ | 42 |
| Bottom Group | $3.0 \%$ | 20 |

The same percentage increases were used for allocation of merit increases to the Academic Professional Staff. Evaluations for each Academic Professional were done by the individual's supervisor, who also made the recommendation of merit percentage increase.

## Equity

While most of this support was for gender equity (see below), all positions were reviewed in relationship to peer groups both by rank and by level of responsibility to determine allocation of funds where needed. All positions identified by the Campus as possible equity concerns were reviewed and all but one individual in this group received equity monies in addition to their merit increases.

## Gender Equity

Gender equity funds were provided at all ranks. While, in general terms, gender equity is being corrected, it remains a serious issue, particularly at the level of Professor. The average difference in salaries between males and females has narrowed. In FY1997 at the rank of Professor, the average male salary was $\$ 67,230$, while the average female salary was $\$ 57,064$, a percentage difference of $18 \%$ (17.82\%). In FY2001, the average male salary at this rank is $\$ 76,093$ and the female is $\$ 69,307$, representing a percent difference of $9.79 \%$. The longer time in rank of males can explain part of the current disparity in salary. This is a statement of fact and does not represent a justification of the situation. Inequities at the rank of full Professor must be examined continually and corrected where appropriate.

## Race Equity

Neither the Library's nor the Campus's review of salaries revealed any significant disparity of salaries based upon race.

## Compression

Although equity was provided at all ranks, the bulk of the allocations for compression were at the Assistant Professor level. Funds were provided for both tenure-track and visiting positions. Most of the salary compression occurs at the lower salary end. This compression can be attributed to the continual need to increase the minimum salary level. Within three years, most of the salary compression at the Assistant Professor level should be resolved. Salary compression was not identified among the Academic Professional positions.

